

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/797,791	03/10/2004		Thomas Duerbaum	DE 010138A	4510
24737	7590	10/26/2004		EXAM	INER
PHILIPS II P.O. BOX 3		CTUAL PROPER	PATEL, RA	PATEL, RAJNIKANT B	
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		,		2838	

DATE MAILED: 10/26/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/797,791	DUERBAUM ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Rajnikant B Patel	2838					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 i	<u>March 2004</u> .						
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Thi	is action is non-final.						
,—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
<ul> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>7 and 10</u> is/are with</li> <li>5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6) ☒ Claim(s) <u>5,6,8,9 and 13-16</u> is/are rejected.</li> <li>7) ☒ Claim(s) <u>2-4,11,12 and 17-26</u> is/are objected</li> </ul>	Claim(s) <u>5,6,8,9 and 13-16</u> is/are rejected.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) ⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) ⊠ None of:  1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documer  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documer  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Bures  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicati ority documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔀 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da						

Art Unit: 2838

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Objections

1. Claims 2-3 and 17,19,21 and 23 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate of claims 13,14,15 and 16 respectively. See MPEP § 706.03(k). Also claims 4,18,20,22 and 24 are not been further treated on the merits, as they are dependent from claims 3,17,19,21 and 23 respectively. Claim 26 is not been further on the merits as it is dependent from claim 27.

## **Double Patenting**

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Application/Control Number: 10/797,791 Page 3

Art Unit: 2838

3. Claims 2-26 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1-19 of U. S. Patent No. 6,721,191 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows:

Both the sets of claims directed toward a resonant converter comprising: multiple converter outputs, including a transformer having a primary winding and at least two secondary windings wherein the resonant frequency of the resonant converter determined by the main inductance and a leakage inductance of the transformer and by a capacitive element.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) The invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 5-6,8-9, and 13-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wittenbreder, Jr. (U.S. Patent # 5,402,329).

Application/Control Number: 10/797,791 Page 4

Art Unit: 2838

Wittenbreder Jr. disclose claimed invention a resonant converter (figure 7), including two secondary windings of different winding directions (column 7, line 1-5 and figure 7), additional inductive element (column 12, line 30-45).

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rajnikant B Patel whose telephone number is 571-272-2082. The examiner can normally be reached on 6.30-5.00; m-f.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Sherry can be reached on 571-272-2084. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Rajnikant B Patel Primary Examiner Art Unit 2838

\*\*\*